

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

**FORT LAUDERDALE DIVISION**

**Case No. 0:17-cv-61617-BB**

JOSE MEJIA, individually and on  
Behalf of all others similarly situated  
situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a  
Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

---

**DEFENDANT'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  
TO REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO  
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION**

Defendant, Uber Technologies, Inc. ("Uber"), pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1) and Local Rule 7.1, respectfully moves the Court for an order extending the time permitted for Uber to file a reply to Plaintiff's Response in Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Compel Arbitration and Stay All Court Proceedings ("Response") (ECF No. 20). Counsel for Uber has contacted counsel for Plaintiff and counsel for Plaintiff has agreed to and does not oppose the relief requested herein. In support of this Motion, Uber states as follows:

1. On August 11, 2017, Plaintiff filed a putative class action Complaint in this Court (ECF. No. 1).
2. On September 20, 2017, Uber filed its Motion to Compel Arbitration and Stay All Court Proceedings ("Motion to Compel") (ECF No. 11).

3. On October 25, 2017, Plaintiff filed a Response to Defendant's Motion to Compel, along with corresponding declarations and exhibits (ECF No. 20).

4. Defendant's deadline to file a reply in further support of its Motion to Compel, and in response to Plaintiff Response, is November 1, 2014.

5. Due to other court deadlines and undersigned commitment to present at an ABA meeting for the section of international law, undersigned's counsel for Uber seeks a two-week extension, up through and including, November 14, 2017 to adequately reply to Plaintiff's Response and in further support of Defendant's Motion to Compel.

6. Counsel for Uber has conferred with counsel for the Plaintiff regarding the requested extension and Counsel for the Plaintiff consents to this requested extension.

7. This Motion is filed in good faith and not for dilatory or other improper purpose.

8. Plaintiff will suffer no prejudice from the requested extension of time.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc. respectfully requests that the Court grant it an extension of time up to and including November 14, 2017, within which to file a reply in support of its Motion to Compel Arbitration and Stay All Court Proceedings.

**LOCAL RULE 7.1(a)(3) CERTIFICATION**

Counsel for Uber conferred with counsel for Plaintiff regarding the relief requested herein, and counsel for Plaintiff has agreed to and does not oppose the Motion.

Dated: October 30, 2017

/s/ Edward M. Mullins

Edward M. Mullins (FBN 863920)

emullins@reedsmith.com

Hanna Sorcic (FBN 37101)

hsorcic@reedsmith.com

Brandon T. White (FBN 106792)

bwhite@reedsmith.com

**Reed Smith LLP**

1001 Brickell Bay Drive

Suite 900

Miami, Florida 33131

Telephone: (786)747-0200

Facsimile: (786)747-0299

*Counsel for Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc.*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on October 30, 2017 I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to counsel or parties of record.

/s/ Edward M. Mullins

Edward M. Mullins (FBN 863920)